

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/533,708	DAVIES ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CLINTON OSTRUP	3771	

All Participants:

(1) CLINTON OSTRUP.

(2) Dwight Walker.

Date of Interview: 7 August 2009

Status of Application: Amended

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 11:45am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

No

Claims discussed:

1 and 40

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Clinton Ostrup/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3771
 /Justine Yu/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. Walker to inform him that he had found his arguments convincing for a medicament container; however, the claims were drawn to a container generally. The examiner suggested adding the limitation the "container in a medicament dispensing system" and to change the title of invention to reflect the container in a medicament dispensing system. Mr. Walker said he was agreeable to the changes and that the changes could be made by Examiner's amendment.